

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/714,424 11/16/2000		Carl J. Serman	1836-001630	4138	
75	90 04/23/2003				
Russell D Orkin			EXAMINER		
700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue			KUHNS, ALLAN R		
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 09/7/4, 424

Applicant(s)

SERMAN ET AL.

fice Action	Summary	Exa

Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	KUHNS	1732
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet beneat	th the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	Aug. (2)	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIREM	ONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replict NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory minimum expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the te, cause the application to beco	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status FF0 2A	2007	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on FFB , 20,		
This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 		tion as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
☑ Claim(s) /-/O AND /2 - 2 O Of the above claim(s) /6 - / 8	is/are pending in the application.	
☑ Claim(s) 20 ☑ Claim(s) /-/0, 12-15 AND 19	· <u></u>	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)		
Application Papers		requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	sapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	a to by the Examiner	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).	
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	• •	•
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International E		
*Certified copies not received:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Attachment(s)		·
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	ew Summary, PTO-413
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		orimorniai Paterit Application, PTO-13
L. Notice of Dialisperson's Faterit Diaming neview, F10-946	□ va r.	
Office Acti	ion Summary	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-329 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Pap r No. -

Serial Number: 09/714,424

Art Unit: 1732

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 16-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-10, 12-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Younes as set forth in the previous Office action.
- 5. Claim 20 is allowed.
- 6. Applicants' arguments filed Feb. 20, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants admit that the Younes reference discloses mixing the curative component and the prepolymer in an NCO/OH ratio of about 1:1 but argue that the reference does not disclose that any excess of one component over the other be limited to a maximum percentage of 2%. First of all, the claim language is limited to excess prepolymer. Secondly, since Younes does teach an NCO/OH ratio of about 1:1, one of ordinary skill in the art operating at that ratio is never impacted by the 2% excess prepolymer limitation.

Applicants also argue that the Younes disclosure does not teach the criticality of incorporating water in the mixture at about 1-5% of the curative component while admitting that

Serial Number: 09/714,424 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

Younes teaches an embodiment where the water concentration is 2.7%, well within the claimed range. This argument is not persuasive because applicants admit that Younes teaches an embodiment using a water concentration which falls within the claimed range.

- 7. The declarations under 37 CFR 1.132 filed February 20, 2003 are insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-10, 12-15 and 19 based upon Younes as set forth in the last Office action because: there is no nexus (a factual and legally sufficient connection) between the objective evidence of non-obviousness (the enhanced performance of the MC Foam wheel relative to a wheel of a competitor and the enhanced performance of the MC Foam Ball Lift tire relative to a traditional ball lift tire) and the invention as is now claimed. Moreover, Younes actually teaches forming a tire and an embodiment wherein the water concentration falls within the claimed range.
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Serial Number: 09/714,424 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (703) 308-3462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino, can be reached on (703) 308-3853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

4-22-03

allow R. Kicker